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Figure 1. Typical small water turbine installation.
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I. INTRODUCTION

1. Introductory statement. The object of this Bulletin is to present a free translation of Donat Banki's paper "Neue Wasserturbine," and to show the results of a series of tests on a laboratory turbine built according to the specifications of Banki.

The Banki turbine is an atmospheric radial flow wheel which derives its power from the kinetic energy of the water jet. The characteristic speed of the turbine places it between the so-called Pelton tangential water turbine and the Francis mixed-flow wheel. There are some unusual characteristics not found in most water wheels which are displayed by the Banki turbine and should be of interest to most engineers, especially those of the Mountain States.

Included in this bulletin are diagrams of two Banki turbine nozzles as patented and used in Europe.

II. THEORY OF THE BANKI TURBINE

1. Description of turbine. The Banki turbine consists of two parts, a nozzle and a turbine runner. The runner is built up of two parallel circular disks joined together at the rim with a series of curved blades. The nozzle, whose cross-sectional area is rectangular, discharges the jet the full width of the wheel and enters the wheel at an angle of 16 degrees to the tangent of the periphery of the wheel. The shape of the jet is rectangular, wide, and not very deep. The water strikes the blades on the rim of the wheel (Figure 2), flows over the blade, leaving it, passing through the empty space between the inner rims, enters a blade on the inner side of the rim, and discharges at the outer rim. The wheel is therefore an inward jet wheel and because the flow is essentially radial, the diameter of the wheel is practically independent of the amount of water impact, and the desired wheel breadth can be given independent of the quantity of water.
2. **Path of jet through turbine.** Assuming that the center of the jet enters the runner at point \(A\) (Figure 2) at an angle of \(\alpha\), with the tangent to the periphery, the velocity of the water before entering would be

\[
V_1 = C(2gH)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

where:
- \(V_1\) = Absolute velocity of water
- \(H\) = Head at the point
- \(C\) = Coefficient dependent upon the nozzle

The relative velocity of the water at entrance, \(v_1\), can be found if \(u_1\), the peripheral velocity of the wheel at that point, is known. \(\beta_1\) would be the angle between the forward directions of the two latter.

![Figure 2. Path of water through turbine.](image)
velocities. For maximum efficiency, the angle of the blade should equal $\beta_1$. If $AB$ represents the blade, the relative velocity at exit, $v_1'$, forms $\beta_1'$ with the peripheral velocity of the wheel at that point. The absolute velocity of the water at exit to the blade, $V_2'$, can be determined by means of $v_2'$, $\beta_2'$, and $v_2$. The angle between this absolute velocity and the velocity of the wheel at this point is $\alpha_2'$. The absolute path of the water while flowing over the blade $AB$ can be determined as well as the actual point at which the water leaves the blade. Assuming no change in absolute velocity $V_2'$, the point $C$, where the water again enters the rim, can be found. $V_2'$ at this point becomes $V_1'$, and the absolute path of the water over the blade $CD$ from point $C$ to point $D$ at discharge can be ascertained.

Accordingly $\alpha_1' = \alpha_2'$
$\beta_1' = \beta_2'$
$\beta_1 = \beta_2$

since they are corresponding angles of the same blade.

It is apparent that the whole jet cannot follow these paths, since the paths of some particles of water tend to cross inside the wheel, as shown in Figure 3. The deflection angles $\theta$ and $\theta_1$ will be a maximum at the outer edge of each jet. Figure 3 shows the approximate condition.

3. Efficiency. The following equation for brake horsepower is true:

$$HP = (wQ/g) (V_1 \cos \alpha_1 + V_2 \cos \alpha_2) u_1$$

Part of the formula (2) can be reduced by plotting all the velocity triangles as shown in Figure 3.

$$V_2 \cos \alpha_2 = v_2 \cos \beta_2 - u_1$$

Neglecting the increase in velocity of water due to the fall $h_2$ (Figure 2) which is small in most cases,

$$v_2 = \psi v_1$$

where $\psi$ is an empirical coefficient less than unity (about 0.98). From the velocity diagram Figure 4,

$$v_1 = (V_1 \cos \alpha_1 - u_1) / (\cos \beta_1)$$

Substituting equations (3), (4), and (5) in the horsepower equation (2)

$$HP \text{ output} = (WQ u_1 / g) (V_1 \cos \alpha_1 - u_1) \times (1 + \psi \cos \beta_2 / \cos \beta_1)$$
Figure 3. Interference of filaments of flow through wheel.

Figure 4. Velocity diagram

The theoretical horsepower input due to the head $H_1$

$$HP = wQH/g = wQV_1^2/C^2g$$

(7)

The efficiency, $e$, is equal to the ratio of the output and input horsepower,

$$e = (2C^2u_1/V_1) (1 + \psi \cos \beta_2 / \cos \beta_1) \times$$

$$\left( \cos \alpha_1 - u_1/V_1 \right)$$

(8)

when

$$\beta_2 = \beta_1$$

then efficiency

$$e = (2C^2u_1/V_1) (1 + \psi) (\cos \alpha_1 - u_1/V_1)$$

(9)
Considering all variables as constant except efficiency and $u_1/V_1$ and differentiating and equating to zero, then

$$u_1V_1 = \cos \alpha_1/2$$

and for maximum efficiency

$$\varepsilon_{max} = \frac{1}{4}C^2(1 + \psi)\cos^2\alpha_1$$

(11)

It is noticeable (see Figure 4) that the direction of $V_2$ when $u_1 = \frac{1}{4}V_1 \cos \alpha_1$, does not become radial. The outflow would be radial with

$$u_1 = \left[\frac{C}{(1 + \psi)}\right](V_1 \cos \alpha_1)$$

(12)

only when $\psi$ and $C$ are unity, that is, assuming no loss of head due to friction in nozzle or on the blades. To obtain the highest mechanical efficiency, the entrance angle $\alpha_1$ should be as small as possible, and an angle of $16^\circ$ can be obtained for $\alpha_1$ without difficulty. For this value $\cos \alpha_1 = 0.96, \cos^2 \alpha_1 = 0.92$.

Substituting in equation (11), $C = 0.98$ and $\psi = 0.98$, the maximum efficiency would be 87.8 per cent. Since the efficiency of the

Figure 5. Blade spacing.
nozzle varies as the square of the coefficient, the greatest care should be taken to avoid loss here. There are hydraulic losses due to water striking the outer and inner periphery. The latter loss is small, for according to computations to be made later, the original thickness of the jet \( s_{in} \), Figure 5, increases to 1.90, which means that about 72 per cent of the whole energy was given up by the water striking the blade from the outside and 28 per cent was left in the water prior to striking the inside periphery. If the number of blades is correct and they are as thin and smooth as possible the coefficient \( \psi \) may be obtained as high as 0.98.


(A) Blade angle: The blade angle \( \beta_1 \), can be determined from \( \alpha_1, V_1, \) and \( u_1 \) in Figures 2 and 4.

If \( u_1 = \frac{1}{2}V_1 \cos \alpha_1 \) (10)
then \( \tan \beta_1 = 2 \tan \alpha_1 \) (13)
assuming \( \alpha_1 = 16^\circ \)
then \( \beta_1 = 29^\circ 50' \) or \( 30^\circ \) approx.

The angle between the blade on the inner periphery and the tangent to the inner periphery \( \beta_2 \) can be determined by means of the following as shown in Figure 6. Draw the two inner velocity triangles together by moving both blades together so that point \( C \) falls on point \( B \) and the tangents coincide. Assuming that the inner absolute exit and entrance velocities are equal and because \( \alpha_2' = \alpha_1' \) the triangles are congruent and \( \nu_2' \) and \( \nu_1' \) fall in the same direction.

Assuming no shock loss at entrance at point \( C \) then \( \beta_2' = 90^\circ \), that is, the inner tip of the blade must be radial. On account of the difference in elevation between points \( B \) and \( C \) (exit and entrance to the inner periphery) \( V_1' \) might differ from \( V_2' \) if there were no losses between these points.

\[ V_1' = [2gh_2 + (V_2')^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} \] (14)
Assuming \( \beta_2' = 90^\circ \) (Figure 7a) \( \nu_1' \) would not coincide with the blade angle and therefore a shock loss would be experienced. In order to avoid this \( \beta_2 \) must be greater than \( 90^\circ \). The difference in \( V_2' \) and \( V_1' \) however is usually small because \( h_2 \) is small, so \( \beta_2 \) might be \( 90^\circ \) in all cases.

(B) Radial rim width: Neglecting the blade thickness, the thickness \( (s_1) \) Figure 5, of the jet entrance, measured at right angles to the relative velocity, is given by the blade spacing \( (t) \).

\[ s_1 = t \sin \beta_1 \] (15)
Assuming $\beta_2 = 90^\circ$ the inner exit blade spacing is known for every rim width, (a).

$$s_2 = l\left(\frac{r_2}{r_1}\right)$$  \hfill (16)

As long as (a) is small the space between the blades will not be filled by the jet. As (a) increases $s_2$ decreases so (a) will be limited by

$$s_2 \leq \gamma_1 s_1, \gamma_2$$  \hfill (17)
It is not advisable to increase the rim width \((a)\) over this limit because the amount of water striking it could not flow through so small a cross-section and back pressure would result. Moreover, a rim width which would be under this limit would be inefficient since separated jets would flow out of the spacing between the blades at the inner periphery.

In order to determine the width \((a)\) it is necessary to know the velocity \(v_2\), which is affected by the centrifugal force (see Figure 5),

\[
(v_1)^2 - (v_2')^2 = (u_1)^2 - (u_2')^2
\]

or

\[
(v_2')^2 = (u_2')^2 - (u_1)^2 = (v_1)^2
\]

but

\[
v_2' = v_1(s_1/s_2) = v_1(r_1/r_2) \sin \beta_1
\]

and

\[
u_2' = u_1(r_2/r_1)
\]

Calling \(x = (r_2/r_1)^2\)

\[
x^2 - [1 - (v_1/u_1)^2]x - (v_1/u_1)^2 \sin^2 \beta_1 = 0
\]

If the ideal velocity of wheel \(u_1 = \frac{1}{2}V_1 \cos \alpha_1\),

then

\[
v_1/u_1 = 1/\cos \beta_1
\]

Assuming \(\alpha_1 = 16^\circ, \; \beta_1 = 30^\circ\),

then

\[
v_1/u_1 = 1/0.866 = 1.15
\]

\[
(v_1/u_1)^2 = 1.33, \text{ approx.}
\]

\[
1 - (v_1/u_1)^2 = -0.33; \sin^2 \beta_1 = \frac{1}{4}
\]

Then equation (20) becomes

\[
x^2 + 0.33x - 0.332 = 0
\]

\[
x = 0.435
\]

\[
x^2 = r_2/r_1 = 0.66
\]

\[2r_1 = D_1
\]

Therefore \(a = 0.17D_1 = \text{radial rim width.}\) \(D_1 = \text{the outside diameter of the wheel.}\)

This value of \((a)\), the radial rim width, was graphically ascertained from the intersection of the two curves (Figure 5).

\[
(v_2')^2 = \left(r_2/r_1\right)^2(u_1)^2 + (v_1)^2 - (u_1)^2
\]

and

\[
v_2' = v_1(r_1/r_2) \sin \beta_1
\]
The central angle \( bOC \), Figure 8, can be determined from equation (18) and

\[
\alpha_2' = \frac{bOC}{2}
\]

\[
v_1 = u_1 / \cos B_1 = u_1 / 0.866
\]

\[
r_2/r_1 = 0.66
\]

\[
v_2' = u_1 \left[ (0.66)^2 + 1.33 - 1 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

\[
= 0.875u_1
\]

\[
\tan \alpha_2' = \frac{v_2'}{u_2'}
\]

\[
= 0.875u_1 / 0.66u_1
\]

\[
= 1.326
\]

\[
\alpha_2' = 53^\circ
\]

angle \( bOC = 106^\circ \) (25)

Figure 8. Path of jet inside wheel.
The thickness of the jet (y) in the inner part of the wheel can be computed from the continuity equation of flow (Figure 8),

\[ V_1 s_0 = V_2' y \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

\[ V_2' \cos a_2' = u_2' = (r_2/r_1) u_1 \]

\[ = (r_2/r_1) V_1/2 \cos a_1 \]

therefore,

\[ y = 2 \cos a_2' s_0/(r_2/r_1) \cos a_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

\[ = (3.03)(0.6) s_0/0.961 \]

\[ = 1.89s_0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

The distance between the inside edge of the inside jet as it passes through the wheel and the shaft of the wheel, \( y_1 \) (Figure 8),

\[ y_1 = r_2 \sin (90 - a_2') - 1.89s_0/2 - d_1/2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

since

\[ s_1 = kD_1 \]

then

\[ y_1 = (0.1986 - 0.945k)D_1 - d_1/2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

In a similar manner the distance \( y_2 \), the distance between the outer edge of the jet and the inner periphery, can be determined.

\[ y_2 = (0.1314 - 0.945k)D_1 \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

For the case where the shaft does not extend through the wheel, the only limit will be \( y_2 \).

For most cases \( k = 0.075 \) to 0.10

then

\[ y_1 + d_1/2 = 0.128D_1 \text{ to } 0.104D_1 \]

\[ y_2 = 0.0606D_1 \text{ to } 0.0369D_1 \]

(C) Wheel diameter and axial wheel breadth: The wheel diameter can be determined from the following equation,

\[ u_1 = \pi D_1 N/(12) \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

\[ (1/2)V_1 \cos a_1 = \pi D_1 N/(12) \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

\[ (1/2)C(2gH)^{1/2} \cos a_1 = \pi D_1 N/(60) \]  \hspace{1cm} (12)

\[ D_1 = 360C(2gH)^{1/2} \cos a_1/\pi N \]  \hspace{1cm} (33)

Where \( D_1 \) is the diameter of the wheel in inches and \( C = 16^\circ, C = 0.98 \)

\[ D_1 = 862H^{1/4}/N \]  \hspace{1cm} (34)

The thickness \( s_0 \) of the jet in the nozzle is dependent upon a compromise of two conditions. A large value for \( s_0 \) would be advantageous because the loss caused by the filling and emptying of the wheel would be small. However, it would not be satisfactory because the
angle of attack of the outer filaments of the jet would vary considerably from \( \alpha_1 = 16^\circ \), thereby increasing these losses as the thickness increased. The thickness should be determined by experiment.

In finding the breadth of the wheel \( (L) \), the following equations are true:

\[
Q = \left( C_{s_0} L/144 \right) (2gH)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= C(kD)L/144 (2gH)^{1/2}
\]

\[
D_1 = 144Q/CkL (2gH)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= (862/N)H^{1/2}
\]

\[
144Q/CkL (2gH)^{1/2} = (862/N)H^{1/2}
\]

\[
L = 144QN/862H^{1/2}Ck(2gH)^{1/2}
\]

\[
= 0.283QN/H \text{ to } 0.212QN/H
\]

where \( k = 0.075 \) and \( 0.10 \) respectively.

(D) Curvature of the blade: The curve of the blade can be chosen from a circle whose center lies at the intersection of two perpendiculars, one to the direction of relative velocity \( v_1 \) at \( (A) \) and the other to the tangent to the inner periphery intersecting at \( (B) \) (Figure 9).

From triangles \( AOC \) and \( BOC \), \( CO \) is common,

then \( (\overline{OB})^2 + (\overline{BC})^2 = (\overline{AO})^2 + (\overline{AC})^2 - 2\overline{AO} \overline{AC} \cos \beta_1 \),

but

\[
\overline{AO} = r_1
\]

\[
\overline{OB} = r_2
\]

\[
\overline{AC} = \overline{BC} = \rho
\]

\[
\rho = [(r_1)^2 - (r_2)^2]/2r_1 \cos \beta_1
\]

When \( r_2 = (0.66r_1) \); and \( \cos \beta_1 = \cos 30^\circ = 0.866 \).

\[
\rho = 0.326r_1
\]

(E) Central angle:

\[
r_1/r_2 = \sin (180^\circ - \delta)/\sin (90^\circ - (\delta + \beta_1))
\]

\[
= \sin \delta/\cos (\delta + \beta_1)
\]

\[
\tan \delta = \cos \beta_1/(\sin \beta_1 + r_2/r_1)
\]

\[
\delta = 73^\circ 28'
\]
Figure 9. Curvature of blades.

III. DESIGN OF LABORATORY TURBINE

1. Assumed design data. From the foregoing discussion by Dr. Banki, a small turbine was designed, constructed, and tested at the Oregon State College hydraulics laboratory. The following assumptions were made subject to the conditions existing in the laboratory. All computations are made for the operation of the turbine at maximum efficiency.

Given \( N_s = 14.0 \)

\( H = 16.0 \text{ ft} \)

\( Q = 3.0 \text{ cfs} \)
Assume $e = 55$ per cent for a small wheel

Then $HP = QHe/8.8 = (3.0)(16)(0.55)/8.8 = 3.0$

2. Breadth and diameter of wheel. If $C = 0.98$ and $k = 0.087$, the latter being the mean of the values given by Dr. Banki,

$$L = 144 QN/(862)(0.98)(0.087)(2g)H = 0.244 QN/H$$

but $N = (862/D_1)H^4$

then $L = 144 Q/(0.98)(0.087)(2g)(D_1)H^4 = 210.6 Q/D_1H^4$

$L D_1 = (210.6)(3.0)/(16)^4 = 158$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$L$ (Inches)</th>
<th>$D_1$ (Inches)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Let $L = 12"$ be selected, then $D_1 = 13.1"$. If any other breadth be chosen, $N$, $D_1$, $s_0$, and $t$ would be affected accordingly.

3. Speed of wheel.

$$N = (862/D_1)H^4$$

$$= (862/13.1)(16)^4 = 263 \text{ rpm.}$$

4. Thickness of jet. Area of jet $= Q/V = 3.0/(0.98)(8.02)(4) = 0.094 \text{ sq ft}$

$$s_0 = A/L = (0.094)(144)/12 = 1.13"$$

5. Spacing of blades in wheel.

$$s_1 = kD_1 = (0.087)(13.1) = 1.14"$$

$$t = s_1/sin \beta_1 = 1.14/0.5 = 2.28"$$

If only one blade at a time be assumed as cutting the jet, so that the blade spacing, $t$, be as shown in Figure 5, then the number of blades, $n$, is

$$n = \pi D_1/t = \pi(13.1)/2.28$$

$$= 18.1 \quad (20 \text{ were used for this experiment})$$
6. **Radial rim width.**

\[ a = 0.17 \, D_1 \]

\[ = (0.17)(13.1) \]

\[ = 2.22 \text{ inches} \]

7. **Radius of blade curvatures.**

\[ \rho = 0.326r_1 \quad \text{Figure 9} \]

\[ = 2.14 \text{ inches} \]

8. **Distance of jet from center of shaft.**

\[ y_1 = (0.1986 - 0.945k)D_1 \]

\[ = 1.5 \text{ inches} \]

9. **Distance of jet from inner periphery of wheel.**

\[ y_2 = (0.1314 - 0.945k)D_1 \]

\[ = 0.64 \text{ inches} \]

10. **Construction of the wheel.** The wheel was constructed at the College by senior students under the direction of the authors. The side disks of the wheel were cut out of 1/4 inch steel plate. The blades were made of 7/64 inch steel, bent on an arc of a curve whose radius was 2.14 inches. The blades were placed between the disks in grooves spaced 2.08 inches apart around the outer periphery and brazed to the disks. The wheel was mounted on a one-inch steel shaft and keyed. The shaft was set in three ball bearing rings mounted in a housing of angle irons set on a heavy wooden framework. The nozzle was built up of sheet iron with a slide valve operating parallel to the rotor axis on a ratchet. This valve was manually controlled so that the width of the jet could be controlled at will, while the jet thickness and the angle \( \alpha_1 \) remained constant.

11. **Laboratory tests.** Thorough tests were made on the wheel in the hydraulics laboratory at Oregon State College. The nozzle was attached to a large pressure tank and the head regulated on the nozzle by means of eight, four, and one inch gate valves. All the water was
furnished by two centrifugal pumps. The head on the nozzle was measured by direct piezometer readings. The brake load was obtained by a Prony brake, with a two-foot lever arm on tested weighing scales. The water used by the turbine was weighed in tanks connected to Toledo scales. The tests were made on about five different occasions by the authors and students, and in each case every measuring device was thoroughly calibrated before being used. The following heads, measured to the center of the rotor, were used: 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 feet. The velocity of approach in the nozzle for the gate openings of full, three-quarter, one-half, one-quarter, and one-eighth varied from a maximum to a minimum. In order to bring the results to a common basis for discussion the total head, pressure plus velocity head, was reduced to a common base of 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 feet. Data were taken at speed increments of 25 rpm from 0 to maximum.

IV. DISCUSSION

1. Limitations of tests. The curves shown in this paper figures 10, 11, and 12, are limited to the test on the turbine under a sixteen foot head for various gate openings. The results of the tests at the heads mentioned previously are discussed.

2. Power. The maximum power developed was 2.75 at 280 rpm at full gate, Figure 10. The power is slightly lower than the anticipated power, while the speed is slightly in excess of the optimum speed. The amount of water used is only 2.22 cfs in place of 3.0 cfs as assumed. The maximum power obtained with the smaller gate openings was practically in proportion to the gate openings. Power developed under the other heads at the optimum speeds is in direct proportion to the three halves power of the heads involved.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head in ft</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual bhp</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.40</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>2.25</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed by head ratio</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.82</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Quantity. The quantity of water used under a 16 foot head was only 2.22 cfs in place of the assumed 3.0 cfs. A slight decrease in the size of the jet thickness would cause a corresponding decrease in the quantity. The jet thickness actually measured after the nozzle was built was 1.05 inches. Lack of jigs and proper equipment made the work of building the nozzle to very accurate dimensions rather
difficult, and probably increased nozzle losses with a resultant lower nozzle coefficient than that assumed.

A slight decrease in quantity occurred with an increase in speed.

Figure 10. Power curves for Banki turbine under 16-ft head.
4. **Speed.** According to the power-speed, Figure 10, and efficiency-speed, Figure 11, curves, the speed for maximum power for all gate openings from one-eighth to full under 16-foot head was practically constant. The computed speed was 263 rpm and the actual speed determined by experiment was 270 rpm. The optimum speed for maximum power at the other heads is shown below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Head in ft</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>18</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actual rpm</td>
<td>197</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Computed</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>287</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **Efficiency-speed.** The highest efficiency attained was 68 per cent at optimum speed of 270 rpm, Figure 11. The efficiency decreased with the decrease in gate opening. Maximum efficiency for one-eighth gate opening was 50 per cent. The efficiency of the

![Figure 12. Characteristic curves for Banki turbine under 16-ft head.](image-url)
turbine was maintained over a wide range of speeds. The maximum efficiency was practically constant at full gate openings for all the heads.

6 Efficiency-power. A curve of efficiency-power would present a fairly high range of efficiencies over a large range in power. This range is relatively wider than those generally obtained from tangential, reaction, or propeller turbines.

7 Specific speed. The characteristic curves of the turbines at 10 foot head, Figure 11, show that the specific speed varies from 2 to 16. The specific speed at maximum efficiency is 14. The turbine could be operated efficiently over a range of 6 to 16 specific speed, a range which fills the gap between the tangential and mixed-flow turbines.

V. THE TURBINE NOZZLE

1. Test nozzle. A laboratory test nozzle was built and installed as shown in Figure 13. This nozzle was so arranged that the width of the jet remained constant. This had the same effect as reducing the effective length of the turbine runner as the demand for power became less. This necessitated the use of a pinion gear to actuate the mechanism to reduce or increase the width of the jet, and this of necessity reduced the speed with which such action could take place.

Figure 13. Banki water turbine built in Oregon State College Hydraulics Laboratory.
2. **German nozzles.** Instead of increasing or reducing the width of the jet for change in power requirements, the thickness of the jet could be changed. This method has been used with German patents, as shown in Figures 14 and 15. In Figure 14, a lever is attached to the gate A and actuated by the turbine governor. At C the water is forced to enter the turbine blades at the required angle for best operating efficiency, regardless of the flow of water. In Figure 15, the thickness of the jet may be changed by a slightly different patented device. The effect is the same as before.

![Figure 14. German design of Banki turbine and nozzle.](image-url)
Figure 15. Alternate German design of Eanki turbine and nozzle.
VI. INSTALLATIONS

1. European turbines. There seems to be a large number of Banki turbines installed in Europe. Commercial catalogs were available from Ganz & Co. before World War II, a firm which had installed many turbines throughout Europe.

2. American installations. Many Banki turbines have been installed in Oregon since the laboratory tests described in this bulletin were made. At least two different manufacturers have been building these water turbines, and many have been made in local shops.

The installation is simple, as shown in Figures 16, 17, and in Figure 1. A small wooden dam may be built across a small stream, the water diverted into a wooden flume where a head of 10 to 20 feet may be obtained. Near the end of the flume a vertical box, or penstock, may be constructed so that the turbine nozzle may be bolted to it. When the turbine is not in use, the water simply discharges over the end of the flume as shown. A small shed houses the electric generator and any necessary belting or other miscellaneous equipment.

Figure 16. Banki turbine runner and nozzle.
VII. CONCLUSIONS

The test on the laboratory Banki turbine indicates that:

1. This turbine can be operated efficiently on a wider range of openings than most turbines.
2. Brake-horsepower varies almost directly with the three-halves power of the head.
3. Maximum efficiency occurs at practically a constant speed for all gate openings at constant head.
4. The Banki turbine characteristic speed occupies a position between those for the tangential and reaction turbines.
5. The effective width of the wheel can be changed at will without changing the angle of attack, ($\alpha$).

It is felt that considerably more efficiency could be obtained by experimenting with different numbers of blades and different nozzles. An efficiency of 68 per cent is not extraordinary and one should not expect to have attained the maximum efficiency with one test, especially on a turbine of such small horsepower. Losses of water to the turbine were known; it is estimated that some 8 per cent of the total water never touched the wheel. Slight changes in design would reduce this loss considerably and thereby increase its efficiency.

It is obvious that there is a distinct place for the Banki turbine in the small turbine field. The advantages are simplicity and economy of construction.
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